## ER Examples

- Barber business
- Musical artists


## The Relational Algebra

- The relational algebra is a procedural query language
- This means it says what data it wants and how to get it
- It consists of a set of operations that take one or two relations as input and produces a new relation as a result
- The fundamental operations in the relational algebra are select, project, union, set difference, Cartesian product, and rename.
- Others include set intersection, natural join, and assignment.


## The Relational Algebra

- Select, project, and rename are called unary operations because they operate on one relations
- Union, intersection, set difference, Cartesian product, and natural join operate on pairs of relations and are therefore called binary operations.


## The Select Operation

- The select operation selects tuples that satisfy a given predicate
- $\sigma$
- The predicate appears as a subscript to $\sigma$
- The argument relation is in parentheses after $\sigma$

Say we want the tuples of the following relation where the instructor is in the physics department.

Table 1: Instructor Relation

| ID | NAME | DEPT | SALARY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | CS | 98822.97 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 92298.75 |
| 15151 | Mozart | Music | 99473.86 |
| 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 98718.90 |
| 32343 | El Said | History | 95757.29 |
| 33456 | Gold | Phyics | 97907.41 |
| 45565 | Katz | CS | 96998.25 |
| 58583 | Califieri | History | 90743.02 |
| 76543 | Singh | Finance | 95514.52 |
| 76766 | Crick | CS | 97777.23 |
| 83821 | Brandt | BIO | 96493.92 |
| 98345 | Kim | Enig | 91779.37 |

## The Select Operation

- We write:
- $\sigma_{D E P T=\text { Physics }}$ (Instructor)


## The Select Operation

Our result is:

Table 2: $\sigma_{D E P T=\text { Physics }}$ (Instructor)

| ID | NAME | DEPT | SALARY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 98718.90 |
| 33456 | Gold | Physics | 97907.41 |

## The Project Operator

- The project operator takes all rows $i$ from column $j$
- П
- Again, the predicate appears as a subscript and the argument relation in ()


## The Project Operator

- Recall our Instructor relation
- Consider $\Pi_{I D}$ (Instructor)
- What is the result?


## $\Pi_{I D}($ Instructor $)$

Table 3: $\Pi_{I D}$ (Instructor)

| ID |
| :--- |
| 10101 |
| 12121 |
| 15151 |
| 22222 |
| 32343 |
| 33456 |
| 45565 |
| 58583 |
| 76543 |
| 76766 |
| 83821 |
| 98345 |

## Composition of Relational Operations

- What about a more complicated question?
- "Find the name of all instructors in the Physics department"
- We can compose relational operations together
- Write $\Pi_{\text {name }}$ ( $\sigma_{\text {dept_name=Physics }}$ (instructor))


## Composition of Relational Operations

- What did we do here
- $\Pi_{\text {name }}\left(\sigma_{\text {dept_name=Physics }}(\right.$ instructor) $)$
- Instead of giving a name of a relation, we used an expression that will create a relation


## Composition of Relational Operations

- Since the results of a relational-algebra operation is of the same type (relation) as its inputs, relational algebra operations can be composed into relational-algebra expressions!
- Just like composing arithmetic operations (+, -, *, ect).


## The Union Operation

- he Union $\cup$ operator takes the union of two tables produced by a query


## The Union Operation

| petID | petType | owner | dwelling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent |
| 3 | Cat | Sarah | Own |
| 4 | Parrot | Michael | Rent |
| 5 | Fish | Michael | Rent |
| 6 | Fish | Emily | Own |

## The Union Operation

- Say we want to know all of the cat and fish owners who rent their dwellings
- What would we write to get the names of cat owners who rent?
- $\Pi_{\text {owner }}\left(\sigma_{\text {pet Type }}=\right.$ Cat $\wedge d w e l l i n g=$ Rent $($ Pets $\left.)\right)$
- What would we write to get the fish owners who rent?
- $\Pi_{\text {owner }}\left(\sigma_{\text {pet Type=Fish } \wedge d w e l l i n g=R e n t ~}(\right.$ Pets $\left.)\right)$
- The Union operator gives us both sets combined


## The Union Operation

- $\Pi_{\text {owner }}\left(\sigma_{\text {petType }}=\right.$ Cat $\wedge$ dwelling=Rent $($ Pets $\left.)\right) \cup$ $\Pi_{\text {owner }}\left(\sigma_{\text {pet Type=Fish } \wedge d w e l l i n g=R e n t ~}(\right.$ Pets $\left.)\right)$


## The Union Operation

- Important!
- Notice we took the union of two sets that had a petID value
- We can only take unions between compatible relations
- We need two conditions for the union operation to hold


## The Union Operation

- The relations $r$ and $s$ must be of the same arity (same number of attributes)
- domains of the $i$ th attribute of $r$ and $i$ th attribute of $s$ must be the same, for all $i$
- This is more restrictive than set theory


## The Set Difference Operation

-     - or / like before
- The set difference between $A$ and $B(A-B)$ will give tuples that appear in A and not in B


## The Set Difference Operation

Lets look at our pets table again

| petID | petType | owner | dwelling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent |
| 3 | Cat | Sarah | Own |
| 4 | Parrot | Michael | Rent |
| 5 | Fish | Michael | Rent |
| 6 | Fish | Emily | Own |

How would we write and find owners who have fish but not parrots?

## The Set Difference Operation

- $\Pi_{\text {owner }}\left(\sigma_{\text {petType=fish }}(\right.$ Pets $\left.)\right)-\Pi_{\text {owner }}\left(\sigma_{\text {petType=parrots }}(\right.$ Pets $\left.)\right)$
- Emily
- IMPORTANT: the same compatibility conditions from union apply


## The Cartesian-Product Operation

- $\times$
- Say we have two relations, $A$ and $B$
- $C=A \times B$
- What tuples appear in $C$
- Each possible pair of tuples (one from A, and one from B).


## The Cartesian-Product Operation

- Say A has $n_{1}$ tuples and $\mathrm{B} n_{2}$ tuples
- C will have $n_{1} * n_{2}$ tuples
- Note it may be the case $t\left[I D_{A}\right] \neq t\left[I D_{b}\right]$
- What tuples appear in $C$
- Still each possible pair of tuples (one from A, and one from B).


## The Cartesian-Product Operation

Imagine we had another table on top of our pet table that represented how different pettypes needed to be kept (called PetTraits)

| pet Type | liveType |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dog | Land |
| Cat | Land |
| Fish | Water |

## The Cartesian-Product Operation

The Cartesian product of Pets and PetTraits is

| petID | petType | owner | dwelling | petType | liveType |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent | Fish | Water |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent | Fish | Water |
| 3 | Fish | Sarah | Own | Dog | Land |
| 3 | Fish | Sarah | Own | Cat | Land |
| 3 | Fish | Sarah | Own | Fish | Water |
| 4 | Dog | Michael | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 4 | Dog | Michael | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 4 | Dog | Michael | Rent | Fish | Water |
| 5 | Cat | Michael | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 5 | Cat | Michael | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 5 | Cat | Michael | Rent | Fish | Water |

## The Cartesian-Product Operation

- Notice anything off about this table?
- It contains tuples that don't exist in reality
- How do we deal with this?


## The Cartesian-Product Operation

- Naming schema:
- If an attribute name in $r$ and $s$ match, attach the name of the relation to the attribute(s) with duplicates
- petID, petType, owner, dwelling, petType, liveType
- Changes to: petID, Pets.petType, owner, dwelling, PetTraits.petType liveType


## The Cartesian-Product Operation

- We have tuples for which $t[$ Pets.petType $] \neq t[$ PetTraits.petType $]$
- At the same time, there are tuples that match!


## The Cartesian-Product Operation

- We have tuples for which $t[$ Pets.petType $] \neq t[$ PetTraits.petType $]$
- At the same time, there are tuples that match!


## The Cartesian-Product Operation

- We have tuples for which $t[$ Pets.petType $] \neq t[$ PetTraits.petType $]$
- At the same time, there are tuples that match!
- Lets look closer


## The Cartesian-Product Operation

| petID | Pets.petType | owner | dwelling | PetTraits.petType | liveType |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent | Fish | Water |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent | Fish | Water |
| 3 | Fish | Sarah | Own | Dog | Land |
| 3 | Fish | Sarah | Own | Cat | Land |
| 3 | Fish | Sarah | Own | Fish | Water |
| 4 | Dog | Michael | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 4 | Dog | Michael | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 4 | Dog | Michael | Rent | Fish | Water |
| 5 | Cat | Michael | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 5 | Cat | Michael | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 5 | Cat | Michael | Rent | Fish | Water 30 |

## The Cartesian Product Operator

- We have instances where Pets.petType matches PetTraits.petType
- We can use the select operator to refine our table to get rid of the pesky errors
- $\sigma_{\text {Pets. petType=PetTraits.petType }}($ Pets $\times$ PetTraits $)$


## The Cartesian Product Operator

| petID | petType | owner | dwelling | petType | liveType |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Dog | John | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 2 | Cat | John | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 3 | Fish | Sarah | Own | Fish | Water |
| 4 | Dog | Michael | Rent | Dog | Land |
| 5 | Cat | Michael | Rent | Cat | Land |
| 6 | Fish | Emily | Own | Fish | Water |

## The Rename Operator

- We may, at times, want to rename attributes in a table
- For example, upon combining tables, we may worry that we will produce duplicate attribute names
- This could create ambiguity
- Forbidden


## The Rename Operator

- For a relational-algebra expression E , we may use $\rho$ for renaming
- $\rho_{x}(E)$
- returns the results of $E$ under the name $x$


## The Rename Operator: Example 1

- Assume E has arity n.
- Perform $\rho_{x\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots A_{n}\right)}(E)$
- Attributes in E are now $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots A_{n}$


## The Rename Operator: Example 2

- Consider the following query
- "What is the highest salary in the University"


## The Rename Operator: Example 2

Table 4: Instructor Relation

| ID | NAME | DEPT | SALARY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | CS | 98822.97 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 92298.75 |
| 15151 | Mozart | Music | 99473.86 |
| 22222 | Einstein | Physics | 98718.90 |
| 32343 | El Said | History | 95757.29 |
| 33456 | Gold | Phyics | 97907.41 |
| 45565 | Katz | CS | 96998.25 |
| 58583 | Califieri | History | 90743.02 |
| 76543 | Singh | Finance | 95514.52 |
| 76766 | Crick | CS | 97777.23 |
| 83821 | Brandt | BIO | 96493.92 |
| 98345 | Kim | Enig | 91779.37 |

## The Rename Operator: Example 2

- One strategy here is as follows:
- Combine the instructor table with itself via a Cartesian product
- This will produce a table with 144 tuples and 8 attributes (duplicates)
- We can then compare the two salaries in any tuple, but can only do so of the columns are unambiguous.


## The Rename Operator: Example 2

- We use the rename operator to remove ambiguity

$$
\Pi_{\text {salary }}\left(\sigma_{\text {salary }<b . \text { salary }}\left(\text { instructor } \times \rho_{b}(\text { instructor })\right)\right)
$$

## The Rename Operator: Example 2

- We use the rename operator to remove ambiguity

$$
\Pi_{\text {salary }}\left(\sigma_{\text {salary }<b . \text { salary }}\left(\text { instructor } \times \rho_{b}(\text { instructor })\right)\right)
$$

## The Rename Operator: Example 2

- We use the rename operator to remove ambiguity

$$
\Pi_{\text {salary }}\left(\sigma_{\text {salary<b.salary }}\left(\text { instructor } \times \rho_{b}(\text { instructor })\right)\right)
$$

- This query will select all tuples for which salary is less than the highest salary


## The Rename Operator: Example 2

- Of course, this will give us the 11 instructors who earn LESS than the highest
- What can we do?
- Set subtraction!

```
\Pi}\mp@subsup{|}{\mathrm{ salary (instructor) })=\mp@subsup{\Pi}{\mathrm{ salary }}{}(\mp@subsup{\sigma}{\mathrm{ salary<b.salary }}{}(\mathrm{ instructor }\times\mp@subsup{\rho}{b}{}(\mathrm{ instructor )}))}{
```

- The result is the set of all instructors minus the set of instructors earning less than the highest, which will give us the highest salary.


## The Set Intersection Operation

- Consider two entities $A$ and $B$
- Set intersection is $A \cap B$
- Same restrictions on unions apply!


## The Set Intersection Operation

- A useful equivalence result for set intersection comes from set difference
- $A \cap B=A-(A-B)$
- Set intersection is most often used as a shortcut for the above calculation


## The Natural Join Operation

- We were able to combine two relations with a Cartesian product before
- But...when we did it...it was kind of taxing!
- This is common operation, calls for a short cut


## The Natural Join Operation

- $\bowtie$
- Natural join forms of a Cartesian product, performs a selection forcing equality on those attributes that appear in both relation schemas, and removes duplicate attributes


## The Natural Join Operation

- Consider two relations $r(R)$ and $s(S)$. The natural join of $r$ and $s$, denoted by $r \bowtie s$ is a schema on $R \cup S$ defined as
- $r \bowtie s=\Pi_{R \cup s}\left(\sigma_{r . A_{1}=s . A 1 \wedge r . A_{2}=s . A_{2} \wedge \ldots r . A_{n}=s . A_{n}}(r \times s)\right.$ where $R \cap S=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots A_{n}\right\}$
- Further note that if $R \cap S=\emptyset$ then $r \bowtie s=r \times s$


## The Natural Join Operation

Consider three different relations

- Course(course_id, students)
- Instructor (ID, name, dept_name, salary)
- Teaches (ID, course_id, sec_id, semester, year)


## The Natural Join Operation

Consider three different relations

- Course(course_id, students)
- Instructor (ID, name, dept_name, salary)
- Teaches (ID, course_id, sec_id, semester, year)
- (instructor $\bowtie$ teaches) $\bowtie$ course $=$ instructor $\bowtie($ teaches $\bowtie$ course $)$
- The Natural join is associative


## The $\theta$ Join

- The $\theta$ join is a variant of the natural join that allows us to combine a selection and a Cartesian product into a single operation
- Consider relations $r(R)$ and $s(S)$, and let $\theta$ be a predicate on the attributes in the schema $R \cup S$.
- The $\theta$ join operation $r \bowtie_{\theta} s=\sigma_{\theta}(r \times s)$


## Example of Theta Join

Let's consider two tables:

## Students

| StudentID | Name | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alice | 20 |
| 2 | Bob | 22 |
| 3 | Carol | 21 |

Courses

| CourseID | CourseName | Instructor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | Math 101 | John Doe |
| 102 | English 101 | Jane Smith |
| 103 | History 101 | John Doe |

## Example of Theta Join (Contd.)

We want to find students who are enrolled in courses taught by the instructor with the name 'John Doe.'

$$
\text { Result }=\text { Students } \bowtie_{\text {Instructor='John }{ }^{\prime} \text { Doe' Courses }}
$$

The result would include students enrolled in courses taught by 'John Doe.'

Result

| StudentID | Name | Age | CourselD | CourseName |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alice | 20 | 101 | Math 101 |
| 3 | Carol | 21 | 103 | History 101 |

## Handling Null Values

- There are situations where some information doesn't exist or may not exist yet
- NULL value: an as yet unknown data value within a table column


## Handling Null Values

- There are situations where some information doesn't exist or may not exist yet
- NULL value: an as yet unknown data value within a table column


## Handling Null Values

- NULL values also create a new information content of $\mathbb{1}(N U L L=T R U E)$
- We therefore have to leave behind binary logic in which any statement is either true or false


## Handling Null values

- Lets look at an example: we want to know the set of employees that live in Kentucky or who do not live in Kentucky

| E\# | Name | Street | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | John Doe | 123 Main St | New York |
| 2 | Jane Smith | 456 Elm St | NULL |
| 3 | Bob Johnson | 789 Oak St | Los Angeles |
| 4 | Alice Brown | 101 Pine St | NULL |

## Handling Null values

$$
\Pi_{\text {Name }}\left(\sigma_{\text {City }=\text { Lexington }}\right) \cup \Pi_{\text {Name }}\left(\sigma_{\text {City } \neq \text { Lexington }}\right)
$$

| E\# | Name | Street | City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | John Doe | 123 Main St | New York |
| 3 | Bob Johnson | 789 Oak St | Los Angeles |

## Handling Null values

- This defies the conventional logic that the union of employees who live in Lexington with its complement (the employs who do not live in Lexington) should be the complete set of employees
- Sentential logic with the values of TRUE, FALSE, and UKNOWN is commonly called three valued logic
- Since this practically makes interpretation of results difficult, we avoid these values when we can


## Handling Null values

- Sometimes a default is used
- In SQL, we would use the function $\operatorname{COALESE}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$, which will replace unknown X with Y


## Extended Relational-Algebra Operations

## Outer Join Operations

- The outer-join operation is an extension of the join operation to deal with missing information
- Consider the following relational schema
- Instructor(ID, Name, dept_name)
- Teaches(ID, course_id, sec_id, semester, year)
- Lets say not every teacher has a course


## Gold On Sabbatical

| ID | CourselD | Semester | year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10101 | CS101 | fall | 2010 |
| 10101 | CS315 | fall | 2010 |
| 10101 | CS347 | spring | 2009 |
| 12121 | Fin201 | spring | 2009 |


| ID | NAME | DEPT | SALARY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | CS | 90542.86 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 95024.65 |
| 15151 | Gold | Music | 97595.49 |

## Outer Join Operations

- We will lose information if we attempt to join, because Gold isn't teaching these courses


## Outer Join Operations

- We will lose information if we attempt to join, because Gold isn't teaching these courses
- Outer joins will allow us to preserve tuples that would be lost in a join by creating tuples in the result with null values


## Outer Join Operations

- We will lose information if we attempt to join, because Gold isn't teaching these courses
- Outer joins will allow us to preserve tuples that would be lost in a join by creating tuples in the result with null values
- Similar to a natural join


## Left/Right Join Operations

- Left outer join ( $\triangle$ )


## Left/Right Join Operations

- Left outer join ( $\triangle$ )
- Right outer join ( $\ltimes$ )


## Left/Right Join Operations

- Left outer join ( $\triangle$ )
- Right outer join ( $\bowtie$ )
- Right is symmetric to left


## Left/Right Join Operations

- $R \not \searrow S$
- Take all tuples in the left relation $(\mathrm{R})$ that do not match with any tuple in the right relation (S), and pad them null values


## Left/Right Join Operations

- $R \not D S$
- Take all tuples in the left relation $(R)$ that do not match with any tuple in the right relation ( S ), and pad them null values
- Should there be any tuples from $S$ that do not match with R, pad with null values


## Left/Right Join Operations

Instructor $\searrow$ Teaches

| ID | NAME | DEPT | SALARY | CourseID | Semester | year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | CS | 90542.86 | CS101 | fall | 2010 |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | CS | 90542.86 | CS315 | fall | 2010 |
| 10101 | Srinivasan | CS | 90542.86 | CS347 | spring | 2009 |
| 12121 | Wu | Finance | 95024.65 | Fin201 | spring | 2009 |
| 15151 | Gold | Music | 97595.49 |  |  |  |

## Full Outer Join Operations

- Full outer join ( $\triangle \odot$ ) does both a right and left outer join, padding tuples from both ends


## Full Outer Join Operations

- It is interesting to note that outerjoin operations can be expressed as basic relational algebra operations


## Full Outer Join Operations

- It is interesting to note that outerjoin operations can be expressed as basic relational algebra operations

$$
(r \bowtie s) \cup\left(r-\Pi_{R}(r \bowtie s)\right) \times\{(\text { null }, \ldots n u l l)\}
$$

## Generalized Projection $\Pi_{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}}(E)$

- We may generalize the projection operator by allowing for operations such as arithmetic and string functions to be applied


## Generalized Projection $\Pi_{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}}(E)$

- We may generalize the projection operator by allowing for operations such as arithmetic and string functions to be applied
- $\Pi_{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}}(E)$ (where $F_{i}$ are functions)


## Generalized Projection $\Pi_{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}}(E)$

- We may generalize the projection operator by allowing for operations such as arithmetic and string functions to be applied
- $\Pi_{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}}(E)$ (where $F_{i}$ are functions)
- $\Pi_{\text {salary } * \frac{1}{12}}$


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- Aggregation functions (G) take a collection of values and return a single value as a result


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- Aggregation functions (G) take a collection of values and return a single value as a result
- count, max, sum, average, min


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- Aggregation functions (G) take a collection of values and return a single value as a result
- count, max, sum, average, min
- Gsum(salary)(instructor)


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- Sometimes we may wish to only factor in distinct observations


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- Sometimes we may wish to only factor in distinct observations
- count-distinctID


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- Sometimes we may wish to only factor in distinct observations
- count-distinctID
- Other times we may wish to apply aggregation to a group of sets of tuples


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- Sometimes we may wish to only factor in distinct observations
- count-distinctID
- Other times we may wish to apply aggregation to a group of sets of tuples
- dept_name $\mathcal{G}_{\text {average(salary) }}$ (instructor)


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- A more general expression is


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- A more general expression is

$$
G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots G_{n} \mathcal{G}_{F_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), F_{2}\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots F_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)}(E)
$$
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- Each $F_{i}$ is an aggregation function


## Aggregation $\mathcal{G}$

- A more general expression is

$$
G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots G_{n} \mathcal{G}_{F_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), F_{2}\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots F_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)}(E)
$$

- The collection $\left\{G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots G_{n}\right\} \in G$ is a list of grouping attributes (department name)
- Each $F_{i}$ is an aggregation function
- Each $A_{i}$ is an attribute name


## The Assignment Operator

- Step1 $\leftarrow R \times S$


## The Assignment Operator

- Step1 $\leftarrow R \times S$
- Step $2 \leftarrow \sigma_{r . A_{1}=s . A_{1} \wedge r . A_{2}=s . A_{2} \wedge \ldots r . A_{n}=s . A_{n}(S t e p 1)}$


## The Assignment Operator

- Step1 $\leftarrow R \times S$
- Step $2 \leftarrow \sigma_{r . A_{1}=s . A_{1} \wedge r . A_{2}=s . A_{2} \wedge \ldots r . A_{n}=s . A_{n}(S t e p 1)}$
- result $\leftarrow \Pi_{R \cup S}(S t e p 2)$


## The Assignment Operator

- Step1 $\leftarrow R \times S$
- Step $2 \leftarrow \sigma_{r . A_{1}=s . A_{1} \wedge r . A_{2}=s . A_{2} \wedge \ldots r . A_{n}=s . A_{n}(S t e p 1)}$
- result $\leftarrow \Pi_{R \cup S}(S t e p 2)$
- We rewrote the definition of $\bowtie$ in steps using the assignment operator


## How Do We Approach Writing Good Queries?

- Break it into smaller questions
- Think about the sequence of how things must happen
- The very first steps are the ones that are going to be buried the deepest in parentheses


## Relational Algebra: Recipes with Meat Products

- Given:
- Foodltems(item, type, calories)
- Ingredients(fooditem, recipe, ounces)
- stock(item, stock)
- Task: Find names of all recipes that contain meat products (food items of type "Meat").
- $\Pi_{\text {recipe }}\left(\sigma_{\text {type='Meat' }}(\right.$ Foodltems $) \bowtie_{\text {fooditem=item }}$ Ingredients)

